

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,295 02/05/2002		Oscar R. Mitchell	LYRN004US0	9657
58293 FORTKORT &	7590 07/13/2007 Ł HOUSTON P.C.	EXAMINER		
9442 N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HIGHWAY			TRUONG, LECHI	
ARBORETUM PLAZA ONE, SUITE 500 AUSTIN, TX 78759			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2194	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/068,295	MITCHELL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LeChi Truong	2194			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	<b>l.</b> nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 M	<u>ay 2007</u> .				
· /	· —				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	WILLIAM THO DERVISORY PATEN  4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/068,295 Page 2

Art Unit: 2194

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-22 are presented for the examination

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Bhat (US. Patent 6,097955).
- 3. As to claim 1, Bhatt teaches message (message, cool 2, in 25-30/col 4, in 10-20/ col 6, ln 46-55), asserting whether the message is in a selected application format (col 6, ln 50-55), selected application (the radio cluster servers 220-222, col 4, ln 39-45/ col 6, ln 50-49), a next location (another system node, cool 3, ln 59-62), a next location (communication module 320, col 6, ln 63-67/ Fig. 3), if the message is not in the selected application format (Paging message, col 6, ln 63-67/ col 2, ln 25-30), if message is not in the selected application format: routing the message to a next location (col 6, 63-67), a selected application processor (the radio server 322-324 may be implemented as individual hardware units such as data processors, col 6, ln 15-17), if the message is in the selected application routing the message to a selected application processor (col 6, ln 50-55), processing the message by the selected application processor (col 6, ln 55-60), routing the message to the next location (col 6, ln 55-62).
- 4. Claims **2-6**, **19-21** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Bhat (US. Patent 6,097955), as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Rosenberg et al (US. Patent 6,560,450 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/068,295

Art Unit: 2194

As to claim 2, Bhat does not teach a message as packet. However, Rosenberg teaches the message includes receiving a packet (col 4, ln 48-52).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Bath of incorporate the feature of packet because this provides the simple determination of the direction in which that packet should be routed over the network.

As to claim 3, Rosenberg teaches the packet from a network (col 2, ln 37-40).

As to claim 4, Rosenberg teaches the packet from a switched network (col 1, ln 19-22/col 10, ln 32).

As to claim 5, Rosenberg teaches the internet (col 1, ln 29-31).

As to claim 6, Rosenberg teaches the message is encrypted (col 5, ln 54-57); processing the message by the selected application processor includes decrypting the message by the selected application processor (col 5, ln 54-58).

As to claims 19, 20, 21, they are apparatus claims of claims 1, 2 and 6; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1, 2 and 6 above.

5. Claims 7-12, 14, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenberg et al (US. Patent 6,560,450 B1) in view of Shanklin et al (US. Patent 6,578,147 b1).

As to claim 7, Rosenberg teaches a network (networks, col 2, ln 37-38), a fabric configured for communication (col 6, ln 52-56), a plurality of application service devices

Application/Control Number: 10/068,295

Art Unit: 2194

(satellite nodes 11, col 4, ln 48-52), unprocessed application specific message (packet, col 5, ln 52-58), the plurality of application service devices are configured to receive a plurality of unprocessed application specific message (col 4, ln 45-48), a particular application (the terminals at the edges of the satellite, col 5, ln 55-58), the application specific message (the packet contain a header which includes a destination address and a sequence filed. The payload in the packet contains the encoded user data, which can be from any kind of multimedia service and can include, for example, voice, video, or data, col 5, ln 52-58), each unprocessed application specific message is configured to be processed by a particular application (col 5, ln 53-58/ col 10, ln 7-10/ ln 27-31), each unprocessed applications specific message is processed with the particular application for with it is configured (col 4, ln 48-52/col 5, ln 54-58/ col 6, ln 2-10/col 9, ln 59-63/ col 10, ln 27-31), a plurality of processed application-specific messages is produced(col 5, ln 56-57), service devices are configured to sent the each processed application specific message to the fabric(col 9, ln 59-63/col 10, ln 56-62).

Rosenberg does not explicitly teach process message in parallel. However, Shanklin teaches process message in parallel (the sensors operation in parallel and analyze packet to determine, col 2, ln 64-66).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Rosenberg and Shanklin because Shanklin's process message in parallel would determines if there is an attempt to gain unauthorized access to the network.

As to claim 8, Rosenberg teaches the message includes receiving a packet (col 4, ln 48-52).

Application/Control Number: 10/068,295

Art Unit: 2194

As to claim 9, Rosenberg teaches a hardware state machine (col 10, ln 9-11).

As to claim 10, Shanklin teaches the plurality of application service devices is included in a single integrated circuit (col 6, ln 65-67).

As to claim 11, Shanklin teaches each application service device comprises a simple programmable processor (col 10, ln 7-8).

As to claim 12, Shanklin teaches a plurality of interoperable configured distinct physical devices (col 9, ln 5-6).

As to claim 14, Shanklin teaches an unprocessed application stream (col 5, ln 56-61).

As to claim 16, Shanklin teaches an e-mail transfer (col 5, ln 3-5).

As to claim 17, Shanklin teaches a virtual private networking communication (col 1, ln 15-17).

As to claim 18, Shanklin teaches a TPC offload engine communication (col 5, ln 63-64).

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenberg et al (US. Patent 6,560,450 B1) in view of Shanklin et al (US. Patent 6,578,147 b1) and further in view of TB (Troubleshooting).

As to claim 13, Rosenberg, Shanklin do not teach SSL/TLS. However, TB teaches SSL/TLS (SSL/TLS, page 2, ln 12).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Rosenberg, Shanklin and TB because TB's SSL/TLS

Art Unit: 2194

would improves performance of Rosenberg, and Shanklin's systems by allowing the requirement for implementing an encryption acceleration hardware.

7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenberg et al (US. Patent 6,560,450 B1) in view of Shanklin et al (US. Patent 6,578,147 b1) and further in view of TB (Troubleshooting).

As to claim 15, Rosenberg and Shanklin do not teach an SSL/TLS connection between a web browser and a web server. However, TB teaches an SSL/TLS connection between a web browser and a web server (page 4, ln 22-25).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Rosenberg, Shanklin and TB because TB's an SSL/TLS connection between a web browser and a web server would improves the efficiency of Rosenberg, and Shanklin's systems by providing the performance required for implement encryption acceleration hardware.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Bhat (US. Patent 8. 6,097955), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Muthukumar et al (US. Patent 6,820,250 b2).

Application/Control Number: 10/068,295 Page 7

Art Unit: 2194

As to claim 22, Bhat does not teach the first/second iteration, a pineline. However, Muthukumar teaches iteration, a pipeline (the first iteration, last iteration, the software pipeline, col 2, ln 64-67).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Bhat to incorporate the feature of iteration, a pipeline because this allows the system to improve the performance of software pinelined loops.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272 3767. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomson, William can be reached on (571) 272 3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

LeChi Truong